

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2002) KLR VOL 3 PART 135 pp. 483 - 676

MARCH 2002

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Abacha v. State p. 483
2. Bosah v. Oji p. 513
3. Central Bank of Nigeria v. Okojie p. 537
4. Maja v. Samouris p. 547
5. Okhwarobo v. Aigbe p. 573
6. Sofolahan v. Fowler p. 617
7. Ugochukwu v. Unipetrol Nig Plc p. 631

COURT OF APPEAL

Terab v. Lawan p. 645 CA

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 3 KLR**

ACCIDENTS - Negligence - Proof - Since no evidence was adduced to show that respondent was negligent in fuel explosion - The accident was rightly held to be inevitable (H6) Ugochukwu v. Unipetrol Nig Plc p. 631

ACTIONS - Cause of action - Lack of - Fate - Since Exhibit A did not provide for consent of parents prior to school fees increment - Plaintiffs have no standing to complain (H4) Sofolahan v. Fowler p. 617

ACTIONS - Charitable trusts - Proper parties - Attorney-General is a necessary party - As suits instituted by parties other than him will be dismissed (H1) Sofolahan v. Fowler p. 617

ACTIONS - Institution of - Proper party - Since the present action was not filed by proper plaintiffs - The same is deemed incompetent (H3) Sofolahan v. Fowler p. 617

ACTIONS - Right of action - 1999 Constitution s. 6(6)(b) does not confer locus standi independently - As plaintiff's claim must also disclose cause of action (H2) Sofolahan v. Fowler p. 617

AFFIDAVITS - Depositions - Source - Need to disclose - Deponent must state source of their information - As court will not act upon such evidence - Save where corroborated by witness from personal knowledge (H12) Maja v. Samouris p. 547

APPEALS - Competence - Grounds - Mixed law & facts - By s.233(3) 1999 Constitution - Leave is required to file such grounds - Otherwise the appeal is incompetent (H1) Central Bank of Nigeria v. Okojie p. 537

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Supreme Court will not interfere - Since appellant failed to impeach findings of the lower courts (H7) Ugochukwu v. Unipetrol Nig Plc p. 631

APPEALS - Issues - Validity - Since Supreme Court does not sit on appeal from decision of trial court - Issue No.5 is irrelevant (H8) Ugochukwu v. Unipetrol Nig Plc p. 631

APPEALS - Land Law - Decision of trial court - Interference - Since the court rightly dismissed plaintiff's claim to title - Court of Appeal was wrong to have interfered with same (H5) Okhwarobo v. Aigbe p. 573

COURTS - Jurisdiction - Orders of court - Where court has jurisdiction to make an order - The fact that its power was invoked under wrong rule - Is not ground for refusal (H13) Maja v. Samouris p. 547

COURTS - Pleadings - Limitation - Courts must limit themselves to issues raised by parties in their pleadings - As to do otherwise may result in denial of fair hearing (H2) Okhwarobo v. Aigbe p. 573

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Bail - Exercise of discretion - Considerations that determines grant of bail - Often depend on the stage of criminal proceedings (H1) Abacha v. State p. 483

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Bail - Grant - Conditions - Allegation of ill health - Sustainability - Mere allegation of bad health will not be sufficient - As discretion is exercised based on facts of each case (H3) Abacha v. State p. 483

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Bail - Grant - Conditions - Fawehinmi v. The State - Ill health is consideration that justifies - Grant of bail on special circumstances (H2) Abacha v. State p. 483

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Bail - Grant - Medical ground - The law does not grant right to medical facility of choice - Since the State has duty to provide same (H4) Abacha v. State p. 483

DAMAGES - Unliquidated damages - Award of - Basis - Court is not entitled to make the award - Without taking credible evidence from parties (H11) Maja v. Samouris p. 547

DAMAGES - Unliquidated damages - Claim - High Court Rules of Lagos O. 24 r. 4 - Appellant's claim provided under the rules - Cannot come within O. 24 r. 11 (H9) Maja v. Samouris p. 547

DAMAGES - Unliquidated damages - Meaning - Damages are unliquidated where court has to assess loss - Or where payable amount is fixed by estimate (H8) *Maja v. Samouris* p. 547

EVIDENCE - Facts - Proof - Since appellant was the one who asserted the existence of the facts - He must also prove same (H9) *Ugochukwu v. Unipetrol Nig Plc* p. 631

JUDGMENTS - Actions - Interlocutory judgment - Correctness of - The judgment is proper - As claims are for unliquidated damages (H1) *Maja v. Samouris* p. 547

JUDGMENTS - Interlocutory judgment - Meaning - Judgment is interlocutory only as to amount - But is final as to the right of plaintiff to recover damages (H10) *Maja v. Samouris* p. 547

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Actions - Declaration of right - Basis - *Wallersteiner v. Moir* - Court makes such declaration upon satisfactory evidence - That plaintiff is entitled to such right (H4) *Maja v. Samouris* p. 547

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Actions - Liquidated damages - Claim for - *Odume v. Nnachi* - Claim does not become one for liquidated damages - Merely because specific amount of money is claimed (H7) *Maja v. Samouris* p. 547

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Authorities - Distinction - *Ogunleye v. Arewa* - The case law is inapplicable to present case - As claim herein is for unliquidated damages under High Court Rules of Lagos O. 24 r. 4 (H3) *Maja v. Samouris* p. 547

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Pleadings - Traverse - Form of - *Lewis & Peat Ltd. v. Akhimien* - Traverse must be made either by denial or non admission - Expressly or by necessary implication (H2) *Ugochukwu v. Unipetrol Nig Plc* p. 631

LAND LAW - Leases - Validity - Commencement date - Where not

expressly stated - Effect - The date is made certain by contingency - Although not expressed (H2) *Bosah v. Oji* p. 513

LAND LAW - Leases - Validity - Condition precedents - Term of the lease as well as its commencement date - Must be capable of being ascertained (H1) *Bosah v. Oji* p. 513

LAND LAW - Root of title - Failure to plead - Effect - Since plaintiff failed to lead evidence of his root of title - Judgment dismissing his claim is proper (H4) *Okhwarobo v. Aigbe* p. 573

LAND LAW - Title - Counter claim - Failure to file defence - Effect - Such failure is irrelevant because court does not make declaration of rights - Without hearing evidence and being satisfied of same (H8) *Okhwarobo v. Aigbe* p. 573

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Plaintiff must satisfy court on strength of evidence he adduced - And not on weakness of defence - Save where his case is supported by defence (H3) *Okhwarobo v. Aigbe* p. 573

LAND LAW - Trespass - Claim for Damages - Sustainability - Failure of claim to title will not necessarily lead to - Failure of claim for damages for trespass (H7) *Okhwarobo v. Aigbe* p. 573

LAND LAW - Trespass - Right of action - Where there are conflicting titles - Person in possession can rightly maintain action - For damages for trespass (H6) *Okhwarobo v. Aigbe* p. 573

PLEADINGS - Binding nature of - Effect - Parties are bound by their pleadings - And evidence which is at variance with averments - Goes to no issue and should be disregarded by court (H1) *Okhwarobo v. Aigbe* p. 573

PLEADINGS - Binding nature of - Where trial is conducted on pleadings - Matters alleged must be proved by evidence - Which must not derogate from the pleadings (H3) *Ugochukwu v. Unipetrol Nig Plc* p. 631

vi ***INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 3 KLR***

PLEADINGS - General traverse - Proof - General denial is effective to cast on plaintiffs - Burden of proving allegations denied (H1) Ugochukwu v. Unipetrol Nig Plc p. 631

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Actions - Default defence - Judgment - Correctness of - High Court Rules of Lagos O. 24 r. 11 - Court was right to base its decision on statement of claim (H2) Maja v. Samouris p. 547

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Actions - On behalf of infants - Proper endorsement was not followed on the court processes - Which default was fundamental and not mere technicality (H5) Sofolahan v. Fowler p. 617

RULES OF COURT - Binding nature of - Court and parties are bound by the rules - And any complaint on procedural irregularity succeeds on appeal - If same caused miscarriage of justice (H5) Maja v. Samouris p. 547

TORTS - Duty of care - Since appellant was neither a licensee nor an invitee - Respondent did not owe a duty of care to him (H5) Ugochukwu v. Unipetrol Nig Plc p. 631

TORTS - Trespass - Licensee - Proof - Where a visitor fails to prove that he is a licensee or invitee - He is deemed to be a trespasser (H4) Ugochukwu v. Unipetrol Nig Plc p. 631

WORDS & PHRASES - Contracts - Liquidated demand - Meaning - Liquidated demand is ascertainable debt or sum of money payable - Without further investigation (H6) Maja v. Samouris p. 547

COURT OF APPEAL

DOCUMENTS - Pleadings - Party relying on documents must specifically - Relate each of such documents to the part of his case - The document is being tendered (H2) Terab v. Lawan p. 645 CA

INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 3 KLR CA vii

DOCUMENTS - Rejection - Effect - A document which has been rejected in evidence - Cannot have any probative value (H3) Terab v. Lawan p. 645 CA

ELECTIONS - Accreditation - Voting - Procedure - By para.15(3) of Schedule 6 of Decree No.50 of 1991 - Once a person is accredited - He shall not leave the voting area - Until he has voted (H1) Terab v. Lawan p. 645 CA

ELECTIONS - Results - Alteration of forms - Proof - To prove falsification of results - Appellant needs to tender copies of Form EC8A - For comparison with the originals tendered (H4) Terab v. Lawan p. 645 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Agricultural Credit Guarantee Scheme Fund Act Cap 13 LFN 1990, s. 15 Sofolahan v. Fowler p. 617

Charitable Trustees Incorporation Act 1872 Sofolahan v. Fowler p. 617

Charitable Trusts Act 1853 of England Sofolahan v. Fowler p. 617

Charities Act 1993, s. 32 & 33 Sofolahan v. Fowler p. 617

Companies & Allied Matters Act 1990, s. 673(1) Sofolahan v. Fowler p. 617

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1979, s. 6(6) b Sofolahan v. Fowler p. 617

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 233(2)(3) Central Bank of Nigeria v. Okojie p. 537

viii INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES IN (2002) 3 KLR

Criminal Code Cap 32 Laws of Lagos State 1994, ss. 319(1), 322, 324 *Abacha v. State* p. 483

Criminal Procedure Law Cap 3 Laws of Lagos State, ss. 167, 221(4), 340(3) *Abacha v. State* p. 483

English Supreme Court Rules 1883, O. 27 r. 4 *Maja v. Samouris* p. 547

High Court of Lagos State (Civil procedure) Rules 1972, O. 24 rr. 2, 4, 11 *Maja v. Samouris* p. 547

Law Reform (Torts) Law, Laws of Lagos State, s. 7 & 8 *Ugochukwu v. Unipetrol Nig Plc* p. 631

Supreme Court Act Cap 424 LFN 1990, s. 21(2) *Central Bank of Nigeria v. Okojie* p. 537

Supreme Court Rules (as amended), O. 8 r. 2(4) *Central Bank of Nigeria v. Okojie* p. 537

COURT OF APPEAL

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1979, s. 227 *Terab v. Lawan* p. 645 CA

Court of Appeal Act 1976, s. 8(2) *Terab v. Lawan* p. 645 CA

Court of Appeal Rules 1981, O. 3 rr. 1, 2(1), 5 *Terab v. Lawan* p. 645 CA

Evidence Act, s. 116 *Terab v. Lawan* p. 645 CA

State Government (Basic Constitutional & Transitional Provisions) Decree No.50 of 1991, paras. 25(1)(d), 28(2)(d) of Schedule 5, 50(2), 51(2) of Schedule 6, s. 92 *Terab v. Lawan* p. 645 CA